system, while the casings of an N-track system are separated by around (N \times 50) meters.

These two architectures each comprise advantages and 5 drawbacks, which may be summarized as follows:

Advantages of monotrack Advantages of multitrack architecture (Figure 1) architecture (Figure 2) • Quality of the signal | • Reduction in the number of transmitted: the analog main cable/casing lines (from the track to couplings (divided by 4 in the casing) are short and the example of Figure 2; insulated from one by N in the general another instance of a system with Flexibility of deployment N tracks) and in the in the field (the cable C associated cost. is simple and lightweight . Reduction in the number of to handle, and it is casings and in the therefore easy to tailor associated cost. it to the local topography (so as to bypass obstacles for example) · Simplicity of the main cable 10, and of the connectors of this cable with the casings B(i). • Reduced size of the casings B(i) and of the cable C. Drawbacks of multitrack Drawbacks of monotrack architecture (Figure 1) architecture (Figure 2) · Large number of cable · Lack of flexibility C/casing couplings (2 (system whose basic connections per track). element is an assembly of Number of casings (1 per N tracks). track); associated costs . Weight and complexity of of equipment and handling. | the cable C'.

 Problems regarding quality of the analog signals received by the casings B'(j): several neighboring strands contained within the same sheath convey low-level detectable analog signals, this possibly giving rise to crosstalk. Moreover, the sensitive analog links between the sensors of a track and their associated casing may be lengthy (for example 125 meters for a 6-track system).

The two architectures described hereinabove have moreover common drawbacks:

5 Firstly, the number of couplings R or R' is sizeable, even if this number is reduced in the instance of a multitrack system. Since the data acquisition installations can be moved in the field, one and the same piece of hardware comprising the tracks and the casings is successively deployed and gathered up at various locations, this involving very many operations for making and undoing the multiple couplings of the system. It is therefore understood that this large number of couplings is especially detrimental in terms of cost of labor and timescales.

Another drawback common to both types of system is that each of the casings which they employ comprises two connectors for coupling with a main cable. The presence of these connectors on the casing constitutes a sizeable obstacle to the miniaturization of the casing, while present-day technological developments make it

A Part of the Control of the Control

5

10

15

20

possible to substantially reduce the bulkiness of the other components of the casing. It would nevertheless be advantageous to reduce the size of the casings, which at present constitute voluminous elements of the systems and may be an impediment to the laying and gathering operations.

A third drawback common to present-day systems stems from the fact that it is sometimes necessary to supplement the couplings between the main cable and the casings with load take-up devices, such as portions of tension cables, one end of which is fixed to a part of the electrical cable close to the casing and the other end of which is mounted, in a removable or nonremovable manner, on the casing itself.

This arrangement may be necessary when the assembly formed by the cables and the casings is subjected to tensile loads, for example when submerging the assembly in water traversed by a strong current.

Such load take-up devices increase the complexity and the time required for employing the system, since when mounting and demounting casings provided with removable 25 load take-up devices, the connecting and disconnecting of the electrical cables and of the casings must be accompanied by the mechanical stowing and unstowing of said load take-up devices.

- Moreover, the load take-up device (comprising means on the casing, such as for example rings secured to the casing) constitutes just like the connectors obstacle to the miniaturization of the casings.
- Furthermore in the two known types of architecture, it is necessary to handle two families of objects having very different dimensions: the casings and sections of